



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Enrique Musoll Adolfo M. Nemirovsky Mario D. Nemirovsky Narendra Sankar	Examiner:	Charles Anya
Docket:	MIPS.0169-00-US	Art Unit:	2126
Customer No.:	23669		
Serial No.:	09/608750		
Filing Date:	06/30/00		
Title:	METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR MANAGING A BUFFER OF EVENTS IN THE BACKGROUND		

**AMENDMENT D**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:


**Introductory Comments § m.**

In response to the Office action of June 7, 2004 with a statutory period of response set to expire September 7, 2004, please amend the above-identified application as follows. A Petition for Extension of Time (3 months) is attached hereto which if granted will extend the period of response to December 7, 2004.

03/11/2005 AERBEN: 00000005 500534 09608750

01 FC:2253 510.00 22

Respectfully submitted,

  
James W. Huffman  
Huffman Law Group, P.C.  
Registration No. 35,549  
Customer No. 23669  
1832 N. Cascade Ave.  
Colorado Springs, CO 80907  
719.475.7103  
719.623.0141 fax  
jim@huffmanlaw.net

Date: 11-29-04

"EXPRESS MAIL" mailing label number E000366223845 Date of Deposit  
12-30-04. I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the U.S.  
Postal Service Express Mail Post Office to Addressee Service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on  
the date shown above and is addressed to the U.S. Commissioner of Patents and  
Trademarks, Alexandria, VA, 22313.

By: 


- 11 -

applicant's invention as embodied in claim 1, characterized in that the BEBM handles all event ordering and accounting for the processor. Applicant's independent claim 25 recites applicant's method claim in accordance with the independent apparatus claims. The Examiner has rejected claims 9, 17 and 25 using the criteria for the rejection of applicant's claim 1, with the exception of the recitation of "memory coupled to the processor" in claim 9, stating that Chang discloses this limitation. In view of the above arguments presented by applicant on behalf of claim 1, claims 9, 17 and 25 are then also clearly and unarguably patentable over Chang, and depending claims 2-8, 10-16, 18-24 and 26-32 are then patentable on their own merits, or at least as depended from a patentable claim.

As all of the claims have been clearly shown to be patentable over Chang, applicant respectfully requests that this application be reconsidered, the claims be allowed, and that this case be passed quickly to issue.

If there are any time extensions needed beyond any extension specifically requested with this amendment, such extension of time is hereby requested. If there are any fees due beyond any fees paid with this amendment, authorization is given to deduct such fees from deposit account 50-0534.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Mario Nemirovsky et al.

by   
Donald R. Boys  
Reg. No. 35,074

Donald R. Boys  
Central Coast Patent Agency  
P.O. Box 187  
Aromas, CA 95004  
(831) 726-1457